

1 JAY P. SRINIVASAN, SBN 181471
2 jsrinivasan@gibsondunn.com
3 JACQUELINE L. SESIA, SBN 322362
4 jsesia@gibsondunn.com
5 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
6 333 South Grand Avenue
7 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
8 Telephone: 213.229.7000
9 Facsimile: 213.229.7520

10 ELI M. LAZARUS, SBN 284082
11 elazarus@gibsondunn.com
12 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
13 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000
14 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921
15 Telephone: 415.393.8200
16 Facsimile: 415.393.8306

17 Attorneys for Non-Party, APPLE INC.

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re Google Play Store Antitrust Litigation

CASE NO. 21-md-02981-JD

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The Honorable James Donato

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5 and this Court's Standing Order, counsel for non-party Apple Inc. ("Apple") has filed a declaration in support of Defendant's Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Materials Should Be Sealed Relating to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Exclude Trial Testimony of Carson Oliver, Dkt. 631 ("Srinivasan Declaration"). The Court having considered the Srinivasan Declaration, all associated motions, declarations, exhibits, and any argument of counsel, and for good cause appearing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion, as supported by the Srinivasan Declaration, is **GRANTED**. Accordingly,

(1) The unredacted versions of the documents sought to be sealed by the Srinivasan Declaration are entitled to protection under the law and shall remain under seal; and

(2) The public shall only have access to the versions of the documents sought to be sealed by the Srinivasan Declaration in which the following portions have been redacted:

Document	Portion To be Sealed	Basis for Sealing	Order
Defendant's Opposition Declaration, Dkt. 631-3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pages 3-4, Paragraph 14; Page 4, Paragraph 15 	<p>This document should be partially sealed because it contains Apple's competitively sensitive information which if revealed would put Apple at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Srinivasan Declaration at 4. This information meets the "good cause" standard under <i>Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu</i>, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-80 (9th Cir. 2006). See <i>Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp.</i>, 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (courts have broad latitude to prevent disclosure of materials, including confidential or commercial information); <i>Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC</i>, 809 F.3d 1092, 1097 (9th Cir. 2016) (finding that "sources of business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing" constitute a compelling reason for sealing) (quoting <i>Nixon v. Warner Commc'nns, Inc.</i>, 435 U.S. 589, 598-99 (1978)). As such, this document is entitled to protection under the law.</p>	
Exhibit 1 to Defendant's Opposition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Entire document 	<p>This document should be sealed because it contains personal identifying information of Apple employees and Apple's competitively sensitive information which if revealed would put</p>	

1	Declaration, Dkt. 631-4	Apple at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Srinivasan Declaration at 4. This information meets the “good cause” standard under <i>Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu</i> , 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-80 (9th Cir. 2006). <i>See</i> <i>Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp.</i> , 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (courts have broad latitude to prevent disclosure of materials, including confidential or commercial information); <i>Ctr. for</i> <i>Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC</i> , 809 F.3d 1092, 1097 (9th Cir. 2016) (finding that ““sources of business information that might harm a litigant’s competitive standing”” constitute a compelling reason for sealing) (quoting <i>Nixon v.</i> <i>Warner Commc’ns, Inc.</i> , 435 U.S. 589, 598-99 (1978)); <i>CCSAC, Inc. v. Pacific Banking Corp.</i> , No. 20-cv-02102, Dkt. 201 (N.D. Cal. July 18, 2023) (granting sealing of information implicating individuals’ privacy). As such, this document is entitled to protection under the law.	
13	Exhibit 2 to Defendant’s Declaration, Dkt. 631-5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Page 1, email from Alastair Morse at 2/5/2019 at 12:06:51 AM PST; • All contact information 	This document should be partially sealed because it contains personal identifying information of Apple employees and Apple’s competitively sensitive information which if revealed would put Apple at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Srinivasan Declaration at 5. This information meets the “good cause” standard under <i>Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu</i> , 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-80 (9th Cir. 2006). <i>See</i> <i>Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp.</i> , 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (courts have broad latitude to prevent disclosure of materials, including confidential or commercial information); <i>Ctr. for</i> <i>Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC</i> , 809 F.3d 1092, 1097 (9th Cir. 2016) (finding that ““sources of business information that might harm a litigant’s competitive standing”” constitute a compelling reason for sealing) (quoting <i>Nixon v.</i> <i>Warner Commc’ns, Inc.</i> , 435 U.S. 589, 598-99 (1978)); <i>CCSAC, Inc. v. Pacific Banking Corp.</i> , No. 20-cv-02102, Dkt. 201 (N.D. Cal. July 18, 2023) (granting sealing of information implicating individuals’ privacy). As such, this document is entitled to protection under the law.
27	Exhibit 3 to Defendant’s Opposition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All contact information 	This document should be partially sealed because it contains personal identifying information of Apple employees. Srinivasan Declaration at 5. This information meets the “good cause” standard

1	Declaration, Dkt. 631-6		under <i>Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu</i> , 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-80 (9th Cir. 2006). See <i>CCSAC, Inc. v. Pacific Banking Corp.</i> , No. 20-cv-02102, Dkt. 201 (N.D. Cal. July 18, 2023) (granting sealing of information implicating individuals' privacy). As such, this document is entitled to protection under the law.	
5	Exhibit 4 to Defendant's Opposition Declaration, Dkt. 631-7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Page 1, email from Steve McGuigan dated 8/30/2018 at 3:26 PM; • Page 1, email from Matt Fischer dated 9/30/2018 at 10:34:26 PM; • All contact information 	This document should be partially sealed because it contains personal identifying information of Apple employees and Apple's competitively sensitive information which if revealed would put Apple at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Srinivasan Declaration at 5. This information meets the "good cause" standard under <i>Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu</i> , 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-80 (9th Cir. 2006). See <i>Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp.</i> , 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (courts have broad latitude to prevent disclosure of materials, including confidential or commercial information); <i>Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC</i> , 809 F.3d 1092, 1097 (9th Cir. 2016) (finding that "sources of business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing" constitute a compelling reason for sealing) (quoting <i>Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 435 U.S. 589, 598-99 (1978)); <i>CCSAC, Inc. v. Pacific Banking Corp.</i> , No. 20-cv-02102, Dkt. 201 (N.D. Cal. July 18, 2023) (granting sealing of information implicating individuals' privacy). As such, this document is entitled to protection under the law.	
19	Exhibit 5 to Defendant's Opposition Declaration, Dkt. 631-8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Page 1, email from Ryan Olson dated 5/30/2019 at 3:59:44 PM GMT; • Page 1, email from James Goodrum dated 5/30/2019 at 7:08 AM; • All contact information 	This document should be partially sealed because it contains personal identifying information of Apple employees and Apple's competitively sensitive information which if revealed would put Apple at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Srinivasan Declaration at 5. This information meets the "good cause" standard under <i>Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu</i> , 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-80 (9th Cir. 2006). See <i>Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp.</i> , 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (courts have broad latitude to prevent disclosure of materials, including confidential or commercial information); <i>Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC</i> , 809 F.3d 1092, 1097 (9th Cir. 2016) (finding that "sources of business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing" constitute a	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
				compelling reason for sealing) (quoting <i>Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 435 U.S. 589, 598-99 (1978)); <i>CCSAC, Inc. v. Pacific Banking Corp.</i> , No. 20-cv-02102, Dkt. 201 (N.D. Cal. July 18, 2023) (granting sealing of information implicating individuals' privacy). As such, this document is entitled to protection under the law.																							
	Exhibit 6 to Defendant's Opposition Declaration, Dkt. 631-9	• Entire document	This document should be sealed because it contains personal identifying information of Apple employees and Apple's competitively sensitive information which if revealed would put Apple at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Srinivasan Declaration at 5. This information meets the "good cause" standard under <i>Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu</i> , 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-80 (9th Cir. 2006). See <i>Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp.</i> , 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (courts have broad latitude to prevent disclosure of materials, including confidential or commercial information); <i>Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC</i> , 809 F.3d 1092, 1097 (9th Cir. 2016) (finding that "sources of business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing" constitute a compelling reason for sealing) (quoting <i>Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 435 U.S. 589, 598-99 (1978)); <i>CCSAC, Inc. v. Pacific Banking Corp.</i> , No. 20-cv-02102, Dkt. 201 (N.D. Cal. July 18, 2023) (granting sealing of information implicating individuals' privacy). As such, this document is entitled to protection under the law.																								

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____, 2023

HONORABLE JAMES DONATO
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE